# Community Assessment for Accessible Rural Events

# Attendee Survey

Please take a few minutes to fill out this survey about your experience at this event. Make sure to fill out both sides. There is space on the back to share additional comments.

Return your completed survey to event staff or volunteers, or drop it back where you picked it up. Thank you for your feedback! We will use this information to make our future events more accessible for everyone.

## How much do you agree with the following statements?

### Parking, Entrances, Pathways

1. There was enough accessible parking, and it was clearly marked.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
2. Accessible entrances were clearly marked.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
3. There were flat and unblocked pathways to all activities and services.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
4. There were ramps at all steps and over uneven surfaces (for example, if there are no curb cuts).
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
5. Challenging surfaces, such as sand, loose dirt, grass, and gravel, have an accessible pathway on top of them.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
6. Doorways and entrances were unblocked and wide enough for wheelchairs and power chairs.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
7. There were handrails on each side of all stairs.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
8. Door handles were levers or u-shaped.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)

### Bathrooms

1. There were enough clearly marked accessible bathrooms.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
2. There were accessible toileting and feeding/watering areas for service animals.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)

### Information

1. There was a clearly marked booth or station with information about accommodations and accessibility questions.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
2. There were clearly identified roving event staff to help with accessibility issues during the event.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)

### Event Space

1. There was accessible seating set up in a way that was inclusive.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
2. There was enough front row seating reserved for people with vision and hearing impairments.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
3. Tables were at a usable height and had enough space for people in wheelchairs and power chairs.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
4. There were accessible shaded or cool areas with comfortable seating for resting.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
5. Sound and lighting were adjustable.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
6. There was a designated area for people experiencing sensory overload to rest, away from noise, lights, and other commotion. Area was fragrance-free.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)

### Event Programming

1. Written materials (like flyers and signs) used high contrast and large print.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
2. Event programs had captioning and/or sign language interpreters.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
3. Speakers used microphones, including audience during Q&A sessions.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
4. Assistive technology (such as headsets or ear protection) easily available.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
5. Any events with sound effects, flashing lights or strobes, smoke machines, etc. were clearly marked.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
6. People with food sensitivities and allergies had clearly labeled alternative options.
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)
7. Overall, did you think the event was accessible?
   1. Not at all (1)
   2. Somewhat (2)
   3. Unsure (3)
   4. Mostly (4)
   5. Completely (5)
   6. Does not apply (.)

Please share any other comments or concerns about the accessibility of this event below:
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